

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,801		11/21/2001	John Brinkman	3992P003	3763
8791	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER	
		LOFF TAYLOR &	DONELS, JEFFREY		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANO	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2837	
				DATE MAILED: 12/13/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/990,801	BRINKMAN ET A	BRINKMAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey Donels	2837				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the depatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🗀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	•	This action is non-final.					
3)□	<i>,</i> —						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Ex- The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abcorrection is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	` '			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received e priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/	48) Paper SB/08) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTG	O-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 09/990,801

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Naples et al.

Naples et al discloses a karaoke system (Fig. 1) which comprises a processor 128, A/D converter (part of instruments 52,54,56 – not explicitly shown), D/A converter 122 (not explicitly shown), and a digital audio interface 78. See especially paragraph 0031.

Regarding Applicant's previous arguments, Applicant's claims do not require a "real musical instrument," Applicant's disclosure does not define a "real musical musical instrument," and the input devices 52,54,56 read on the musical instruments claimed. It is well-known in the modern art of electronic musical instruments that a drum pad 56, for example, is considered a musical instrument. Naples et al further discloses creating a mixed digital signal of both the processed digital audio signal of the musical instrument 50 and a digital audio file 1 16,120. See especially paragraph 0060.' Regarding the

Application/Control Number: 09/990,801

Art Unit: 2837

'proper timing to allow the user to play in conjunction,' see guide track 30.

Claims 1-10, 16-24,30-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Miller.

Miller discloses a dynamically adjustable network method of playing along with music which comprises a processor 4, A/D converter (part of input devices 22,25,26 – not explicitly shown), D/A converter and digital audio interface 8.

Regarding Applicant's previous arguments, Applicant's claims do not require a "real musical instrument," Applicant's disclosure does not define a "real musical musical instrument," and the input devices 22,25,26 read on tie musical instruments claimed. It is well-known in the modern art of electronic musical instruments that a keyboard 22, for example, is considered a musical instrument. Miller further discloses creating a mixed digital signal of both the processed digital audio signal of the musical instrument 167 and a digital audio file 170. See especially Col. 10, lines 47-67. Regarding the 'proper timing to allow the user to play in conjunction' Miller uses time-stamping to accomplish this - see Col 13.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 571-272-2061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,801

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey Donels
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2837

Page 4